

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/387,796	09/01/1999	CATHERINE CHRISTENSEN	ST9-99-084	3026
45727 7590 09/10/2007 IP AUTHORITY, LLC RAMRAJ SOUNDARARAJAN 9435 LORTON MARKET STREET #801			EXAMINER	
			SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
	LORTON, VA 22079		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

m

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/387,796	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven P. Sax	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ARANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 June 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,12-16 and 18-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1, 2, 5-10, 12-14, 21-22 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 15,16 and 18-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  13. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  14. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  15. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  16. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  17. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  18. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner is objected to be the Examiner is obje	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 09/387,796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This application has been examined.
- 2. The amendment filed 6/25/07 has been entered.
- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 4. Claims 15-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. These claims are directed to a computer program product, comprising a medium, which per the specification may be just signals. Such a medium is not statutory subject matter.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 12-14, 21-22 are allowable over the prior art of record. These claims bring out the two different icons within the different objects of different sets, and the recognizable persistence, and the features combined are not set forth in the prior art of record.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner has attempted to contact

Art Unit: 2174

applicant's representative to expedite remedy for this rejection. Applicant's

representative is invited to contact Examiner at earliest convenience.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven P. Sax whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TEVEN SAX

\*\*\*